**PAPER TITLE**

*(font 14, Times New Roman, Bold Capitalize each word, Centering)*

**First Author1\*, Second Author2, Third Author2 and Fourth Author1**

*(font 12, Times New Roman, Bold, Centering)*

*1(1st Affiliation) Department Name, Name of Organization, City, Country;2(2nd Affiliation) Department Name, Name of Organization, City, Country.(font 12, Times New Roman, Centering)*

Received: / Accepted:

DOI:

*(all the paper font 12, Times New Roman, Single spacing)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| KEYWORDS:  Each keyword to start on a new line. |  | A B S T R A C T:  Abstract: Please write down your abstract here or delete and type. (9 points, Times New Roman, below 150-200 words, A4 (21.0 cm x 29.7 cm), the abstract should summarize your paper, do not make references nor display equations in abstract). |

عنوان الورقة

***(حجم الخط 14 BOLD نوع الخط Simplifiled Arabic)***

الباحث الاول¹، الباحث الثاني²، الباحث الثالث²، الباحث الرابع4

***(حجم الخط12 BOLD نوع الخط Simplifiled Arabic)***

***(الانتساب الأول) اسم القسم، اسم المنظمة، المدينة، الدولة؛(الانتساب الثاني) اسم القسم، اسم المنظمة، المدينة، الدولة***

***(حجم الخط 12 نوع الخط Simplifiled Arabic)***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| المستخلص: |  | الكلمات المفتاحية: |
| **المستخلص هو عبارة عن خلاصة للورقة بأكملها ويجب أن يعطى نفس الاعتبار كالنص الرئيسي. لا تتضمن عادة أي إشارة إلى الأدبيات. يجب أن يسبقا الاختصارات المصطلح الكامل عند الاستخدام الأول.**  **يجب أن يكون الملخص بين 150-200 كلمة. ويتضمن بيان موجز للمشكلة، ووصفًا موجزًا لأسلوب البحث وتصميمه، وملخصًا للنتائج الرئيسية، بما في ذلك أهميتها أو الافتقار إليها، والاستنتاجات.(حجم الخط 10 نوع الخط Simplifiled Arabic)** | لايفل عن خمس كلمات ومرتبة ترتيبا أبجديا وتستعمل الفاصلة بينهما. |

**INTRODUCTION**

The introduction should articulate the problem being addressed. It should provide sufficient background information on the subject allowing the reader to have more insight into what will be presented in the rest of the paper. The aims of the manuscript should be clearly stated (London et al. 2012).


**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This section should be concise but provide sufficient detail of the material used and equipment and the procedure followed to allow the work to be repeated by others.

The sources of the laboratory procedures should be cited and any changes that were made must be noted. Information on the equipment model, manufacturer's name and address including the city, province/state and country should be provided. The procedures should be written in the past tense.

**RESULTS**

Results should be presented in a logical sequence in the text, tables and figures. Repetitive presentation of the same data in tables and figures should be avoided. The results should not contain material appropriate to the Discussion. All tables, graphs, statistical analyses and sample calculations should be presented in this section (Futuyma2009).



**Figure: (1).** Sample line graph using colors which contrast well both on screen and on a black

*(font 10, Times New Roman)*

**Table:(1).** Prevalence of Anti-Brucella antibodies

*(font 10, Times New Roman)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Animal species | Animals tested | Seropositive animals | Proportion positive animal |
| Goats | 153 | 106 | 69.3% |
| Sheep | 247 | 46 | 18.6% |
| Total | 400 | 152 | 38% |

**DISCUSSION**

The results should be discussed in relation to any hypotheses advanced in the Introduction. Comment on results and indicate possible sources of error. Place the study in the context of other work reported in the literature. Only in exceptional cases should the "Results and Discussion" sections be combined. Refer to graphs, tables and figures by number (for example Figure 5 or Table 5. This helps tie the data into the text in a very effective manner (Reed and Schroeder 2006).

**CONCLUSION**

The main conclusions of the experimental work should be presented. The contribution of the work to the scientific community and its economic implications should be emphasized.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The source of financial support must be acknowledged. Authors must declare any financial support or relationships that may pose conflict of interest in the covering letter submitted with the manuscript. Technical assistance may also be acknowledged.

**Grant support**

* **No specific funding was received for this work,**
* **This work was supported by ……”**
* **for indirect support. In my opinion, working on research during work hours counts as indirect support.**

**Author contributions**

**ETHICS**

Authors should address any ethical issues that may arise after the publication of this manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

Books:

Futuyma, D. J. 2009. *Evolution*. Second edition. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA

Drake, J. A., F. DiCastri, and R. H. Groves. 1989. *Biological invasions*: a global perspective. Wiley, New York, New York, USA

Book Chapter:

Abrams, P. A., B. A. Menge, and G. G. Mittelbach. 1995. The role of indirect effectsin Food webs. Pages 371-395 *in* G. Polisand K. O. Winemiller, editors. *Food webs*: integration of patters and dynamics. Chapman and Hall, New York, New York, USA

Article in Peer-Reviewed Journal:

Allison, S. D., C. A. Hanson, and K. K. Treseder. 2007. Nitrogen fertilization reduces diversity and alters community structure of active fungi in boreal ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **39**:1878-1887

Article in Online Journal (web only):

London, J. M., J. M. Ver Hoef, S. J .Jeffries, M. M. Lance, and P. L. Boveng. 2012. Haul-outbehavior of harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in Hood Canal, Washington. *PLoSONE* **7**:e38180

Open Access Database-Peer reviewed:

Hefley, T. J., K. M. Broms, B. M. Brost, F. E. Buderman, S. L. Kay, H. R. Scharf, J. R. Tipton, P. J. Williams, and M. B. Hooten. In press. The basis function approach for modeling autocorrelation in ecological data. ArXiv:1606.05658

Online Journal-Peer reviewed:

D. B. Dunson and A. Rodríguez. 2011. Nonparametric Bayesian models through probit stick-breaking processes. *Bayesian Analysis (Online)* **6** . https://doi.org/10.1214 /11-BA605

Government document:

Reed, D. C., and S. C. Schroeder. 2006. An experimental investigation of the use of artificial reefs to mitigate the loss of giant kelp forest habitat. California Sea Grant Program. Publication No. T-058. University of California, San Diego, California, USA.

Citing Other Resources:

Document/Report from Website

Service Argos. 2015. *Argos user's manual*. CLS (Collecte Localization Satelites), Ramonville Saint-Agne, France .http://www.argos-system.org (1/20/2016)

Web Page (not peer reviewed)

Farabee, M. J. 1997. Plantsandtheirstructure II. https://www2.estrellamountain.edu/faculty/farabee/biobk/BioBookPLANTANATII.html (1/20/2016)